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ABSTRACT
Over the past several years, next-generation DNA sequencing technologies are used for the identification of genes responsible for Mendelian disorders and genetic variants related to common disorders. The development of exome sequencing and analysis approaches according to inheritance and pedigree information helps to overcome the majority of limitations encountered by traditional genetic mapping approaches. Different strategies used in previous studies constitute an important source for future studies on genetic disorders. In this review, exome sequencing approaches that are used to identify genetic causes of monogenic disorders and the pros and cons of conventional methods are presented.
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INTRODUCTION
Many studies have been conducted for years to unravel the genetic causes of human diseases. According to the catalogue of rare monogenic disorders (OMIM- Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man), known as Mendelian disorders, more than 4,300 loci associated with single gene disorders were identified (1). Moreover, nearly 1,700 phenotypes with unknown molecular basis are described in OMIM. When nearly 1900 phenotypes that are suspected to have mendelian basis are added to this number, it can be expected that about 3,600 monogenic disorders still have to be identified. Additionally, investigation of genetic factors associated with common diseases has gained accelerated in recent years (2). Many genetic variants considered to affect the susceptibility to common diseases have been detected. The next-generation DNA sequencing platforms, being developed since 2005, help to overcome some factors that made the gene identification process difficult with traditional methods. The use of these new sequencing methods, particularly combined with targeted capture and enrichment techniques, rendered possible the detection of all coding sequences of the human genome easily. This approach is called exome sequencing. In this review, the exome sequencing approach, applied in the identification of genes responsible for single gene disorders, is presented.

Traditional gene identification approaches
The main method used to identify mutant genes responsible for single gene diseases is the positional cloning approach (3). This approach is based on the identification of the chromosomal location of the gene likely to be responsible for the disease. For this purpose, a candidate chromosomal region as narrow as possible is defined, and the candidate genes in this region are screened for a mutation. Genome-wide analysis of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) is generally conducted for the identification of the candidate chromosomal region (4). Because the chromosomal position in the human genome of each polymorphism is defined, they are used to generate maps covering the whole genome. The genetic mapping approach used for single-gene diseases is linkage analysis (3). The linkage analysis is based on the calculation of the probability of a mutant disease allele to be inherited together with various genetic markers on the basis of genetic information obtained from family trees. By using the positions of genetic markers on chromosomes, most linked and closely related loci can be detected. Homozygosity mapping is the most common method used for the identification of mutant genes responsible for autosomal recessively inherited disorders (5). In this case, the candidate regions are restricted to homozygous regions in consanguineous families. Consequently, a mutational screen by DNA sequencing is conducted in candidate genes that are prioritized according to their association with the disease among all genes found in the identified chromosomal region.

The limitations of traditional approaches
Although most of the monogenic disorders have been elucidated by traditional approaches, there are cases for which these methods remain insufficient (2). First of all, the presence of too many genes in the defined candidate region is a limiting factor in a study with regard to sequencing cost. Furthermore, the genetic heterogeneity (the
fact that similar disease phenotype can be cause by distinct mutant genes or alleles), which causes to deviate from mendelian inheritance and makes the correlation between genotype and phenotype difficult, and the presence of modifier genes changing the disease phenotype make the identification of the responsible locus difficult. Another common case is the presence of nuclear families formed by parents and their child or larger families with a few affected members (only 1 or 2). These families do that do not meet the criteria to be efficiently analysed using traditional approaches. The genotype data extracted from these types of families generally remain statistically insufficient for classical analysis approaches.

**Next-generation DNA and exome sequencing**

In cases where traditional approaches remained insufficient, the development of large-scale next-generation DNA sequencing technologies has greatly accelerated gene identification studies. The common goal of platforms having been developed since 2005 is the parallel sequencing of millions of DNA sequences at a time (6). These developments come to mean a speedy cost reduction while increasing the sequencing strength and accuracy. However, the cost of sequencing the whole human genome, which is complex and large, is still very high. Moreover, significant infrastructure is necessary to filter, interpret and store the large amount of data (7). Gene identification studies have been accelerated with the development of methods that provide the targeting and sequencing of only particular regions in the genome since 2008 (8). Exome sequencing has become especially prominent in research about Mendelian disorders. This method renders possible the capture and sequenc- ing of the whole exome corresponding only to protein-coding sequences. Since its first application in 2009 exome sequencing has been used for the identification of hundreds of new genes that are responsible for monogenic disorders (9). Almost 57% of these disorders have an autosomal recessive inheritance. Moreover, in about 35% of these studies, the gene responsible for the disease have been defined by sequencing the exome of a single individual apart from the controls (10).

**Exome sequencing to identify causes of monogenic disorders**

In order for any genetic variation to be associated with a single-gene disorder, it is expected to be rare, highly penetrant the probability for an individual to exhibit the phenotype defined by a genotype it affects the function and structure of the protein encoded by the gene that it is found in, and it is generally found in protein-coding sequences (11). Although the noncoding regulatory regions are not covered, exome sequencing is an effective method to identify genes responsible for Mendelian disorders. First of all, the majority of variants identified by positional cloning are located in the protein coding sequences. In fact, almost 85% of alleles accounting for single-gene diseases are found in protein-coding regions (12, 13). It is thought that the variants in regulatory regions that do not encode proteins are usually harmless or have little effect on phenotype. The extent to which these changes affect monogenic diseases has not been revealed yet (12). Because rare variants with detrimental effects are generally found in exonic sequences, exome sequencing is successfully to identify hundreds of mutant genes responsible for single-gene diseases, particularly those displaying autosomal recessive inheritance (14).

**Exome sequencing**

Several technologies aiming to capture all protein-coding exons, accounting for 1% of the human genome, have been developed since 2007 (15). The most commonly used commercial kits were developed by three different companies, Agilent, Nimblegen, and Illumina (16, 17). The main steps of exome capturing and sequencing differ slightly. In the first step, the genomic DNA to be sequenced is randomly fragmented into small fragments, and a DNA library is formed. The exonic sequences in the DNA fragments are captured and enriched by hybridization with DNA or RNA templates. In solid phase hybridization exome capturing is realized by microchips while, DNA or RNA templates marked with biotin are used in the liquid-phase hybridization approach. After their hybridization to exonic sequences, they are captured and enriched by streptavidin-coated beads. Finally, after washing in order to remove unbound genomic fragments, the enriched exon library is amplified and then sequenced by one of the next-generation sequencing methods.

**Variant filtration**

After all protein-coding exons are sequenced, the large amount of data has to be filtered (18). Short sequences have to be compared to a reference genome sequence, and the differences between the reference genome and the sample have to be identified. More than 90% of approximately 20,000 to 24,000 single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) obtained from one sample constitute known polymorphisms (15). All variants acquired in the first stage are compared to common polymorphism databases (for instance, dbSNP, 1000 Genomes Project, and HapMap), and control individuals and known polymorphisms are eliminated (19-21). Then, nonsynonymous mutations are eliminated, since they are expected to be nonpathogenic. Moreover, additional filters are performed depending on various criteria, such as interspecies conservation of variants and their possible detrimental effects to the gene products they are found in (22).

**Analysis approaches with exome sequencing**

Different analysis approaches allow to determine the causal variant that is associated with the disease, among those remaining after the filtration step (10, 15, 22, 23). Several approaches have been followed in the identification of genes responsible for single-gene diseases, depending on information, such as inheritance, family tree, and genetic heterogeneity.

In the linkage analysis-based approach, classically, a common haplotype is found in family members, and healthy individuals are used as controls (24, 25) (Figure 1a). In the case of unrelated sporadic individuals, common variants shared by the patients and associated with the disease are determined with an overlap strategy (26, 27) (Figure 1b). In this case, the assumption that there is no genetic heterogeneity in the disease has to be made. Moreover, as the number of patients whose exome is sequenced increases, this approach becomes more effective. In the de novo approach, the exomes of trios composed of an affected child and his parents are sequenced, and the variants found in the patient but not detected in the parents are determined (28, 29) (Figure 1c). Finally, a homozygosity-based approach can be used for small consanguineous an autosomal recessive disorder. This approach assumes that the
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gosity mapping among all genes, the number of candidate genes

was reduced to 2, and the homozygous mutation responsible for

disease was detected in the MAK gene (35).

Limitations of exome sequencing

Recently, although the causes of many Mendelian disorders have

been explored thanks to exome sequencing technology, cases

where this approach fails to identify the responsible variant remain

(15). Besides the advantages of the exome sequencing method,

some limitations persist. The conditions that can lead to failure are

the absence of the responsible gene in the regions targeted during

exome capture or the presence of an unknown gene; low coverage

of the locus, including the responsible variant (present platforms
do not capture approximately 5%-10% of the known exons in the

geneome); failure to detect the signal (base calling) despite the

responsible variant being covered; or presence of alignment errors

with the reference sequence in particular regions such as those

containing highly repetitive sequences. Moreover, the presence of

pathogenic variants in the control set or in the polymorphism da-

tabase during the analysis of data, false-positive results associated

with processed pseudogenes and duplications, the presence of

the responsible variant in non-exon regions (intronic or regulatory

regions), or the existence of many candidate variants after filtration

make the identification of a single responsible variant difficult.
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tions) possible detrimental effects and the expression level in the

tissue affected in the disease; a single variant (NM_024306.2) re-

sponsible for the disease has been identified.

In recent years, homozygosity mapping has been applied in com-

bination with the exome sequencing approach in the identification

of genes causing autosomal recessive disorders (32-35). Pippucci

et al. (34), by using the approach they call ‘Exome HOMozygos-

ity,’ identified the gene responsible for spastic paraplegia, display-

ing autosomal recessive inheritance and a leukodystrophy pheno-
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from exome sequencing. For this, after the exome of two affected
1. Exome capturing and sequencing

2. Exome data analysis
   - Alignment to reference genome sequence
   - Polymorphism filtration according to SNP databases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNVs</th>
<th>Patient 1</th>
<th>Patient 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20,967</td>
<td>22,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Included in dbSNP</td>
<td>19,727</td>
<td>21,237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not included in dbSNP</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>1414</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Homozygosity mapping with exome data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Known SNVs in dbSNP</th>
<th>New SNVs detected in exome sequencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A genetic map consisting of 135,035 genetic markers

Homozygosity mapping

Homoyzygous haplotypes- ex-HOM regions

4. Comparison to SNP genotyping data

Figure 2. Homozygosity mapping and exome sequencing. “Exome Homozygosity” approach

overcome for overcoming the financial and analytic burden of whole genome sequencing, researchers have focused on the variations in the whole exome for the last several years. In recently developed commercially available platforms, it is aimed to overcome some limitations of exome sequencing by providing to researchers the opportunity to capture promoters, highly conserved sequences, microRNAs, and 5’ and 3’ untranslated regions, in addition to exonic sequences. Despite this, it is anticipated that by facilitating the analysis of the hundredfold data, whole-genome sequencing instead of sequences. Despite this, it is anticipated that by facilitating the analysis of the hundredfold data, whole-genome sequencing instead of exome sequencing.
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